



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | ī | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/039,932 | 10/039,932 11/01/2001 | | Mark S. Buehler | 021556.0125 | 9943 |
| 22850 | 7590 | 10/24/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| C. IRVIN | | | BROWN, RUEBEN M | | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ALEXAND | | | | 2623 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/039,932 | BUEHLER ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Reuben M. Brown | 2623 | | |

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL ___. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: __ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Attached Advisory Action. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,932

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 7/7/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues on page 3 that, Kawai does not disclose or suggest a system, for managing video teleconferencing devices. Examiner respectfully disagrees, and first of all points out that Kawai is directed to a video conferencing system that provides video communication between a plurality of terminals, col. 3, lines 25-58. Fig. 2 is an example of a display screen of one of the terminals in the system. Therefore, the software and hardware in Kawai that allows the plurality of terminals to communicate reads on the claimed, 'system for managing video teleconferencing devices'.

As for the claimed 'management adapter accessible to a user interface, the management adapter having a list that identifies video teleconferencing devices configured to exchange audio/video data', clearly the disclosure of the GUI in Kawai which provides a list of observers, reads on the claimed 'list'. As for the claimed 'management adapter', Kawai discloses a management process 78, which manages the remote control operations and images distribution operations of the cameras 30 of all of the communications terminals 10 and operates the image distribution processes of the network, col. 5, lines 35-50; col. 6, lines 34-36 & Fig. 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,932

Art Unit: 2623

Applicant also argues that Kawai fails to disclose a 'device access layer interfaced with the management adapter and the video teleconferencing device'. Examiner respectfully disagrees and again points out that the software on each terminal that interprets information from the management process 78, reads on the claimed 'interface'. For instance, the software on the communication terminals 10, which allows the observer list to be displayed, reads on the claimed 'interface'. As for the claimed 'objects', Comstock clearly meets the subject matter, see col. 6, lines 27-50, which teaches a people display 154 may be connected to the terminal 100, to display the people associated with the video conference. People associated with the video conference corresponds with the claimed 'representing the video teleconferencing devices as objects'. In particular, Comstock teaches that the screen may contain multiple media signals, and display them as PIP windows on the screen, col. 6, lines 36-40, which clearly reads on objects.

However, applicant argues that Comstock fails to disclose or suggest referencing devices as an object, nor in any abstract concept. Again, examiner respectfully disagrees, and points out that the 'display of people associated with the video conference', corresponds with objects, and thus meets the claim. The user is enabled to change the parameter so the 'people associated' (i.e., objects), such as volume, role and policy, etc.

Comstock discusses the user being able to do role management of the videoconference, as well as the policy manager, for controlling the operation of the teleconference. Thus, Comstock is in the same filed of endeavor as Kawai, and reads on the claimed subject matter.

Examiner maintains the Final Office action, mailed, 1/30/06.

Art Unit: 2623

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-7290 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reuben M. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-7290. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-6:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Reuben M. Brown

HALTRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER